| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | 10/521,649 | WANG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Andriae M. Holt | 1616 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Andriae M. Holt</u> . | (3) <u>Andy Zhang</u> . | | |
| (2) <u>Leslie S. Szivos</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2008</u> | Time: <u>1:00 pm</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted Applicant to discuss the use of the term "comprising" in claim 1. The use of the term "comprising" allows for the addition of an organic solvent before the reaction is complete, therefore, the prior art, Hu et al., would read on the claim, making the claims not allowable. Mr. Szivos will contact his client to discuss the claim language and amendment to the claim to place the application in condition for allowance. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (A _J | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) | |